managing information systems: a practical assessment tool

lessons learned from the information technology resources board

revised december 2005 www.itrb.gov





managing information systems: a practical assessment tool

lessons learned from the information technology resources board

revised december 2005 www.itrb.gov





Contents

| About the ITRB |
|-------------------------------------|
| Forward |
| Purpose |
| Background6 |
| Assessment Framework |
| Who Should Complete the Assessment8 |
| Evaluating Results8 |
| Strategy Results8 |
| Leadership Results9 |
| Technology Results9 |
| Self-Assessment Survey |
| Strategy |
| Mission and Vision |
| Customers14 |
| Business Focus |
| Leadership |
| Executive Direction |
| Capital Planning |
| Project Management24 |
| Performance Management |
| Technology |
| Acquisition |
| Architecture 30 |



About the Information Technology Resources Board (ITRB)

Executive Order 13011 established the Information Technology Resources Board (ITRB) to assist agencies in acquisition, development and management of major information systems. ITRB members are experienced practitioners drawn from Federal agencies who bring management, technical, and acquisition perspectives to the table. Under the sponsorship of the Office of Management and Budget, the ITRB conducts independent peer assessments of selected Federal information systems. The ITRB's activities promote measurable improvements in mission performance and service delivery to the public through the strategic application of information technology.

Current ITRB Members

Kay Clarey, Chair Department of Treasury

George Hyder, Vice Chair
Sue Arnold
Andrew Boots
Office of Personnel Management
Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Comptroller of the Currency

Sandra Borden Department of Justice

Linda Cureton Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms
Thomas Kireilis General Services Administration

Stan Kirk Architect of the Capitol
Jean Lilly Internal Revenue Service

Martin Smith Department of Homeland Security
Fernanda Young Export/Import Bank of the US

Former ITRB Members

Arnold Bresnick Gary Christoph Eric Mandel
Kenneth Byram Joanne Ellis Emory Miller
Tim Carrico Jackie Fletcher Bob Rodweller
Kevin Carroll Ken Heitkamp Valerie Wallick

Mary Ellen Condon Myron Kemerer

ITRB Management Staff

Michele Heffner Project Manager, GSA

Nora Rice Editor, Department of Justice

Michelle Truman Graphic Designer, GSA



Foreword

The Information Technology Resources Board (ITRB) is pleased to issue this updated edition of Managing Information Systems: A Practical Assessment Tool. This instrument is designed to assist Federal agencies in understanding how to strategically apply information technology to achieve their missions and deliver services and products.

The Assessment Tool contains a broad array of questions in nine areas from which to evaluate information technology systems: mission and vision, customers, business focus, executive direction, capital planning, project management, performance management, acquisition, and architecture. These questions reflect the ITRB members' extensive on-the-job experiences, as well as insights gained from assessments of critical information systems across the Federal government during the past several years.

The material presented here is derived from actual reviews of mission critical federal information systems projects. It sets out a concise, high-level framework for assessing information systems that offers practical suggestions for federal executives involved in the management of information systems.

The following pages are not intended to be exhaustive. Rather, they provide a quick, sensible overview of useful practices and tools for the effective management of information systems.

This handbook has passed the test of time. We invite you to adapt these proven practices to achieve results in your organizations.

Kay Clarey
Chair, Information Technology Resources Board

Purpose

The ITRB developed this Assessment Tool, which provides an evaluative framework and a check-list to assist Federal government organizations in gaining a better understanding of how to strategically apply information technology to achieve their missions and deliver services and products. Mission performance and service delivery are top concerns for Federal agencies, as compliance with the Clinger-Cohen Act, the Government Performance and Results Act, the E-Government Act, FISMA, and Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, requires a strong emphasis on performance improvement, accountability for achieving results, security, accessibility, and cost efficiency. Technology is an essential enabler for these improvements.

This is an experience-based instrument that incorporates key issues and experiences culled from ITRB assessments of major information systems and related processes. Designed for executives and other senior level members of agencies who rely on a specific IT system or an entire IT infrastructure to deliver services and products, this tool helps agency management understand how they are leveraging technology to improve mission accomplishment. The assessment can be used as an effective way to gauge perceptions of how well IT systems aid business performance.

This assessment tool focuses on specific areas in need of attention. It provides value to respondents both by reading it and completing it. The assessment presents respondents with broad guidance to evaluate an organization from strategic, leadership, and technology perspectives. Completion of an assessment will focus an organization on the key issues identified through the ITRB's experience.

Background

The ITRB was established in July 1996 by Executive Order 13011 to provide peer assessments of mission critical information systems by: identifying critical issues and findings; framing these in management and technical perspectives; and by recommending actions to mitigate risks or resolve issues. ITRB members are experienced practitioners from across the Federal government who bring broad program, technical, and acquisition management expertise to managing and developing major IT systems. The ITRB's activities promote measurable improvements in mission performance and service delivery through the strategic application of information technology.

Assessment Framework

This assessment framework includes three broad perspectives: strategy, leadership, and technology. The strategy section is meant to help respondents understand where an organization is going, by having them answer questions about their mission, vision, customers, and business focus. The leadership section, allows respondents to consider how an organization is guided and answer questions about executive direction, capital planning, project management, and performance management. Within the technology section, respondents assess how well an organization's systems support performance and answer questions about acquisition and architecture.

THE FRAMEWORK IS LAID OUT AS FOLLOWS:

I. Strategy

Questions about where the organization is going.

- Mission and vision
- Customers
- · Business Focus

II. Leadership

Questions about how the organization is guided.

- Executive Direction
- Capital Planning
- Project Management
- Performance Management

III. Technology

Questions about the organization's systems.

- Acquisition
- Architecture

Definitions

CUSTOMER: A direct or indirect beneficiary of a technology related process or program. This may include internal and external customers, end-users, and stakeholders.

Top Executive: A member of executive management of a Federal agency (political or career).

Senior Manager: An official with general responsibility for a number of Federal programs or projects.

PROGRAM/PROJECT MANAGER: One who is directly responsible for acquisition, service delivery, or operations.

PROJECT TEAM MEMBER: One who participates directly in the design, implementation, or maintenance of a Federal program or system.

SUPPORT CONTRACTOR MANAGER: One who leads a team of contractors responsible for acquisition, service delivery, or operations.

SUPPORT CONTRACTOR TEAM MEMBER: A member of a contractor team who participates directly in the design, implementation or maintenance of a Federal program or system.

Who Should Complete the Assessment

This assessment should be completed by Federal government executives, senior program and project managers, project management team members, as well as support contractor managers, support contractor team members, and customers (which may include internal and external customers, end-users, and stakeholders) who rely on Federal IT systems. If a question does not apply or the respondent doesn't know the answer, the question should be skipped.

Respondents should answer questions for their selected role only. It is also important that for a specific assessment, all respondents answer their questions within the same context, either:

- A specific, identified IT system, or,
- The general IT infrastructure of an organization.

At various points in the assessment tool, respondents are prompted to answer certain questions only if they influence key decisions about the use of technology in their selected respondent role. Examples of such decisions include participation in:

- Capital investment decisions about technology
- Decisions about the acquisition strategy for technology
- A decision about whether a new technology is compliant with the agency architecture.

To increase candor, it is recommended that responses remain confidential.

Evaluating Results

Collecting, analyzing, and comparing results among respondents within an organization are highly recommended. The greatest value comes from comparing responses from all role respondents to obtain a clear picture of trends, patterns, and common themes. This comparison provides useful insights into problem areas.

Because each agency is different, there is no single approach to ensure improvement. Issues highlighted in the assessment offer insight into the crucial steps that executives, managers, and organizations need to take to effectively address problem areas.

To understand the organizational impact of the responses, review the answers marked YES and NO on the completed assessment for each category within the three broad perspectives: strategy, leadership, and technology.

Strategy Results

If most of the responses were YES in the strategy section, this indicates that the organization has a solid grasp on how IT systems link to the agency's overall business objectives as articulated in the mission, vision, and respective management plans. This also would indicate that customers

(which include internal and external customers, end-users, and stakeholders) are well integrated into the process of determining the agency's strategic direction.

Carefully examine the topic areas where responses were NO to find those that will require additional attention. If most responses were NO, then more attention should focus on clarifying the agency mission and vision. Examine the customer communications and feedback processes to strengthen their role in mission accomplishment, and further clarify business objectives and priorities.

Leadership Results

If most of the responses were YES in the leadership section, this indicates that the organization is being guided and managed effectively in the critical areas identified. Leaders of the agency are integrating its business priorities through established processes for managing technology and technology performance.

Carefully examine the topic areas where responses were NO to find those that will require further attention. If most responses were NO, then more attention should be focused on whether agency leaders are managing the business effectiveness of information technology. Focus on capital planning and reevaluate processes for making significant technology investments that support mission achievement.

Project management and performance management processes should be examined. Agency leadership should identify issues with existing or developing performance measures to strengthen performance management systems. Agency leadership should also identify issues around how projects are chosen, whether they support the agency mission and performance goals, and whether the organization's leaders are significantly involved in choosing and monitoring projects.

Technology Results

If most of the responses were YES in the technology section, this indicates that the agency is effectively integrating its business processes and goals with its information technology architecture. In addition, acquisition and contract administration processes are playing an effective role in acquiring and managing IT systems.

Carefully examine the topic areas where responses were NO to find those that will require additional attention. If most responses were NO, take a closer look at acquisition processes to gauge whether the agency's vision is reflected in clearly defined requirements and deliverables. Examine the agency's IT architecture to discern how well it focuses on work processes, information flows, and technical standards to provide services that achieve the agency's business objectives.

Self-Assessment Surveys

Strategy

Mission and Vision

A clearly articulated mission and vision statement conveys what the agency does and for whom, and maps where or what the agency would like to be in the future. A strong vision describes how the agency will accomplish its overall mission.

Definitions

AGENCY: An administrative division of the government.

Business Plan: A plan developed to implement the strategic goals and objectives of a strategic plan at the business unit level of the organization.

CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER (CIO): The executive primarily responsible for all IT concerns throughout the agency, including information resource management, IT capital planning, IT change management, and various other management and technical functions related to information technology.

Customer: A direct or indirect beneficiary of a technology-related process or program. This may include internal and external customers, end-users, and stakeholders.

IT Architecture: The documentation of relationships between business and management processes and IT that ensures: 1) alignment of information requirements with the processes that support the agency's mission; 2) adequate interoperability, redundancy, and security of information systems; and 3) the application and maintenance of a collection of standards (including technical standards) by which the agency evaluates and acquires new systems.

IT Strategic Plan: A document that outlines how IT investments and initiatives support the agency's mission and business objectives.

Mission: An enduring statement of purpose, the agency's reason for existence. Describes what the agency does, who it does it for, and how it does it.

Organization: An agency component or entity that has oversight responsibility for one or more business units, each of which has purview over the activities of a number of projects.

Strategic Plan: A document that includes a comprehensive mission statement based on the agency's statutory requirements, a set of outcome-related strategic goals, and a description of how the agency intends to achieve these goals.

VISION: A view of a desirable and potentially achievable future state - where or what the agency would like to be in the future.

| Ques | tions 1 through 13 are intended for all respondents In the agency: | | | |
|-------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. | Is the mission clearly defined? | 1. | Yes | No |
| 2. | Is the vision clearly defined? | 2. | Yes | No |
| 3. | Is there a clearly understood strategic planning process? | 3. | Yes | No |
| 4. | Is there a strategic plan? | 4. | Yes | No |
| 5. | Is the strategic plan being implemented? | 5. | Yes | No |
| 6. | Does the strategic plan focus on desired outcomes? | 6. | Yes | No |
| 7. | Do you believe that the vision articulated is realistic? | 7. | Yes | No |
| 8. | Are performance goals clearly articulated in its strategic plan? | 8. | Yes | No |
| 9. | Do you understand the performance measures that will be used to gauge achievement of desired outcomes? | 9. | Yes | No |
| 10. | Have customers been included in the development of the vision? | 10. | Yes | No |
| 11. | Has your input been solicited in the strategic planning process? | 11. | Yes | No |
| 12. | Have customers been included in the strategic planning process? | 12. | Yes | No |
| 13. | Has implementation of the strategic plan produced tangible results? | 13. | Yes | No |
| progr | tions 14 through 24 are intended for all senior executives, senior managers, am project managers, project team members, support contractor managers, and ort contractor team members. | | | |
| 14. | Does the organization's strategic plan focus on desired outcomes? | 14. | Yes | No |
| 15. | Do the organization's functions contribute to the agency's desired outcomes? | 15. | Yes | No |
| 16. | Is it clear who is responsible for implementing the functions articulated in the agency's strategic plan? | 16. | Yes | No |
| 17. | Does the organization have performance measures to gauge achievement of desired outcomes? | 17. | Yes | No |
| 18. | Is the organization's strategic plan defined by and linked to the agency's strategic plan? | 18. | Yes | No |
| 19. | Does the agency have a business plan which identifies services and functions that must be performed to achieve outcomes defined in the strategic plan? | 19. | Yes | No |
| 20. | Does the business plan reflect the agency's vision? | 20. | Yes | No |
| 21. | Does the organization's strategic plan impact resource allocation and decision making? | 21. | Yes | No |
| 22. | Are day-to-day decisions and resource allocations driven by the priorities of the agency's strategic and business plans? | 22. | Yes | No_ |
| 93 | the agency contacting and addition plants. | | | |
| 20. | Does the organization have a process for responding to changing mission needs or customer requirements? | 23. | Yes | No_ |

Questions 25 through 36 are intended for all respondents who influence key technical decisions.

| 25. Does the agency have an IT strategic plan? | 25. Yes No |
|--|------------------|
| 26. Is the agency's IT strategic plan linked to and guided by its strategic plan? | 26. Yes No |
| 27. Has your input been solicited in the IT strategic planning process? | 27. Yes No |
| 28. Should your input have been solicited in the IT strategic planning process? | 28. Yes No |
| 29. Have customers been included in the IT strategic planning process? | 29. Yes No |
| 30. Does the agency have a CIO or equivalent? | 30. Yes No |
| 31. Did the CIO or equivalent coordinate the development of the IT strategic plan? | 31. Yes No |
| 32. Have the agency's organizations contributed to the IT strategic plan? | 32. Yes No |
| 33. Do you believe that the IT strategy is realistic? | 33. Yes No |
| 34. Are IT projects prioritized based on their contributions to the agency's business priorities as spelled out in the strategic plan? | 34. Yes No |
| 35. Does the target IT architecture reflect the agency's strategic goals? | 35. Yes No |
| 36. Has implementation of the IT strategic plan produced tangible improvement in IT practices? | its 36. Yes_ No_ |

Customers

Successful agencies recognize that customers are the driving force behind the strategic direction of the agency's future. Therefore, it is important to understand customers' needs and requirements and how programs and systems can be designed to reflect those needs. Frequent communication with customers provides a process for regular, structured feedback and provides a forum for discussing mutual expectations about the agency's future direction.

Definitions

AGENCY: An administrative division of the government.

BASELINE: The initial collection of data which establishes a basis for comparison.

Benchmarking: An analytical process of measuring the performance of activities against the performance of similar activities in internal and external organizations considered to be the "best in class".

CUSTOMER: A direct or indirect beneficiary of a technology-related process or program. This may include internal and external customers, end-users, and stakeholders.

Mission: An enduring statement of purpose, the agency's reason for existence. Describes what the agency does, who it does it for, and how it does it.

Organization: An agency component or entity that has oversight responsibility for one or more business units, each of which has purview over the activities of a number of projects.

STRATEGIC PLAN: A document that includes a comprehensive mission statement based on the agency's

statutory requirements, a set of outcome-related strategic goals, and a description of how the agency intends to achieve these goals.

VISION: A view of a desirable and potentially achievable future state - where or what the agency would like to be in the future.

| Ques | tions 1 through 12 are intended for all respondents. In the agency: | | | |
|-------|---|-----|-----|----|
| 1. | Is there a clear understanding of who the customers are? | 1. | Yes | No |
| 2. | Is there a clear understanding of customers' expectations throughout its organizations? | 2. | Yes | No |
| 3. | Does it meet customers' expectations? | 3. | Yes | No |
| 4. | Are customers' priorities and requirements reflected in its strategic plan? | 4. | Yes | No |
| 5. | Is there a clear process for soliciting customers' input and feedback? | 5. | Yes | No |
| 6. | Do you believe there is a clear process for incorporating customers' input into the strategic plan? | 6. | Yes | No |
| 7. | Does the feedback process communicate customers' unmet needs? | 7. | Yes | No |
| 8. | Does the feedback process communicate customers' future needs? | 8. | Yes | No |
| 9. | Do you believe customer service is embedded within the mission and vision? | 9. | Yes | No |
| 10. | Are business objectives prioritized based on their impact upon customers? | 10. | Yes | No |
| 11. | Is customer feedback solicited on a frequent basis? | 11. | Yes | No |
| 12. | Are changes in strategic directions shared with customers? | 12. | Yes | No |
| progr | tions 13 through 21 are intended for all senior executives, senior managers, am/project managers, project team members, support contractor managers, and ort contractor team members. | | | |
| 13. | Does the agency have a plan to educate customers regarding its capabilities, expertise, and products and services? | 13. | Yes | No |
| 14. | Are customers represented in the strategic planning process? | 14. | Yes | No |
| 15. | Do you communicate the agency's mission and vision to employees and customers? | 15. | Yes | No |
| 16. | Are customers' priorities articulated in programmatic priorities throughout all levels of the agency? | 16. | Yes | No |
| 17. | Are service delivery processes changed as necessary to better and more efficiently meet customers' needs? | 17. | Yes | No |
| 18. | Does the organization have a process for responding to customers' changing needs? | 18. | Yes | No |
| 19. | Is benchmarking incorporated as a step in changing service delivery to customers? | 19. | Yes | No |
| 20. | Do front-line employees have access to information about customers' needs? | 20. | Yes | No |
| 91 | Is there a process to baseline and measure service delivery to customers? | 21. | Yes | No |

Questions 22 through 26 are intended for all respondents who influence key technical decisions.

| 22. | Do formal processes incorporate customers' requirements into projects on a periodic basis? | 22. | Yes | No_ |
|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 23. | Is there a process for communicating changes, delays and new IT developments to customers? | 23. | Yes | No_ |
| 24. | Do formal processes survey user feedback on systems testing and implementation? | 24. | Yes | No_ |
| 25. | Are system developers required to understand user requirements? | 25. | Yes | No_ |
| 26. | Do development projects include the enabling technology needed to improve customer service? | 26. | Yes | No_ |

Business Focus

Technology should emphasize business value and solutions rather than technical sophistication. While technology may drive changes in business processes, it is important that these changes are prioritized for the benefits they bring to a process, not simply because they are technically attractive.

Definitions

AGENCY: An administrative division of the government.

Business Plan: A plan developed to implement the strategic goals and objectives of a strategic plan at the business unit level of the organization.

CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS: A document that describes the business model, layout and relationship of systems, communications and the technical architecture, and responsibilities for implementing and managing the architecture.

CUSTOMER: A direct or indirect beneficiary of a technology-related process or program. This may include internal and external customers, end-users, and stakeholders.

IT Architecture: The documentation of relationships among business and management processes and IT that ensures: 1) alignment of information requirements with the processes that support the agency's mission; 2) adequate interoperability, redundancy, and security of information systems; and 3) the application and maintenance of a collection of standards (including technical standards) by which the agency evaluates and acquires new systems.

Organization: An agency component or entity that has oversight responsibility for one or more business units, each of which has purview over the activities of a number of projects.

| Ques | tions 1 through 6 are intended for all respondents. In the agency: | | | |
|---------------|---|-----|------|----|
| 1. | Have business objectives that are critical for success been prioritized? | 1. | Yes | No |
| 2. | Have you been informed of these business objectives? | 2. | Yes | No |
| 3. | Are the objectives accurately reflected in the business plan? | 3. | Yes | No |
| 4. | Do you agree with the way in which critical business objectives have been prioritized? | 4. | Yes | No |
| 5. | When requirements change, are customers told how these changes will be reflected in IT systems? | 5. | Yes | No |
| 6. | Do the IT systems usually achieve expected business results for customers? | 6. | Yes | No |
| progr | tions 7 through 11 are intended for all senior executives, senior managers, ram/project managers, project team members, support contractor managers, and ort contractor team members. | | | |
| 7. | Do members of the organization understand how technology meets critical business objectives? | 7. | Yes | No |
| 8. | Do high priority business requirements drive the completion of the agency's IT systems? | 8. | Yes | No |
| 9. | Are IT systems incrementally implemented? | 9. | Yes | No |
| 10. | Do solutions for satisfying business requirements routinely push the technical "bleeding edge"? | 10. | Yes | No |
| 11. | Do solutions for satisfying business requirements take advantage of reliable, road-tested options? | 11. | Yes | No |
| Ques decis | tions 12 through 19 are intended for all respondents who influence key technical ions. | | | |
| 12. | Is there an agency-wide IT strategy? | 12. | Yes | No |
| | Is there an organizational IT strategy? | 13. | Yes | No |
| | Are IT projects defined in such a way that they support the agency's strategic direction? | 14. | Yes | No |
| 15. | Do projects have a detailed concept of operations? | 15. | Yes | No |
| 16. | Are technical requirements for projects driven by business objectives? | 16. | Yes_ | No |
| 17. | Do the agency's business objectives strive to implement architectures which support technical standards? | 17. | Yes | No |
| 18. | Does incremental system implementation provide usable levels of functionality to support specific business objectives? | 18. | Yes | No |
| 19. | Do project-level technical architectures ensure consistency with the overall agency IT architecture? | 19. | Yes | No |

Leadership

Executive Direction

Agency executives are responsible for ensuring that the agency achieves its mission. To get results, executives must mobilize staff, manage resources, engage constituencies and customers, supervise work operations, and oversee an array of management processes. Among agency executives, the Chief Information Officer and Chief Financial Officer have important responsibilities for ensuring that the agency makes effective use of information technology. Their responsibilities converge in a number of areas, such as IT capital planning, financial transaction processing, and human resource management. Complex converging leadership responsibilities make it particularly critical for agency executives to communicate and cooperate effectively.

Definitions

AGENCY: An administrative division of the government.

CAREER DEVELOPMENT PLAN: An organization's formal system for facilitating skills acquisition and occupational advancement among its employees.

CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER (CIO): The executive primarily responsible for all IT concerns throughout the agency, including information resource management, IT capital planning, IT change management, and various other management and technical functions related to information technology.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER (CFO): The executive primarily responsible for all fiscal concerns throughout the agency, including budgeting, financing, capital planning, accounting, transaction processing, and various management functions related to financial matters.

INDEPENDENT VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION (IV&V): The task of reviewing a contractor's product, development processes, or management capacity, to ensure all requirements are met. The task is carried out by a separate contractor or other autonomous and independent entity.

MILESTONE: A planned event in systems development when major activities are to be completed and/or upper management is scheduled to make a set of critical decisions.

Mission: An enduring statement of purpose, the agency's reason for existence. Describes what the agency does, who it does it for, and how it does it.

Organization: An agency component or entity that has oversight responsibility for one or more business units, each of which has purview over the activities of a number of projects.

| Ques | tions 1 through 4 are intended for all respondents. In the agency: | | | |
|-------|---|-----|------|-----|
| 1. | Do top executives display ongoing involvement in systems development and acquisition? | 1. | Yes | No_ |
| 2. | Do top executives set out a clear definition of what constitutes success? | 2. | Yes | No_ |
| 3. | Do top executives communicate their decisions effectively to individuals outside the agency? | 3. | Yes | No |
| 4. | Are top executives held responsible for achieving results? | 4. | Yes_ | No_ |
| progr | tions 5 through 25 are intended for all senior executives, senior managers, and ram project managers, project team members, support contractor managers, and ort contractor team members. | | | |
| 5. | Does the CIO have the necessary authority and visibility to oversee all IT decisions? | 5. | Yes | No |
| 6. | Does the CIO decide which new technologies to pursue? | 6. | Yes_ | No_ |
| 7. | Does the CIO decide which legacy technologies to maintain? | 7. | Yes | No |
| 8. | Do the CIO and CFO ensure that business objectives take precedence over technological advances? | 8. | Yes_ | No |
| 9. | Does the CIO require architectural compliance across the agency? | 9. | Yes | No |
| 10. | Does the CIO ensure that organizations receive enough technical support to accomplish agency business priorities? | 10. | Yes | No_ |
| 11. | Is there a productive working relationship between the CIO and the CFO? | 11. | Yes_ | No_ |
| 12. | Is there a productive working relationship among the chief executives in the agency? | 12. | Yes | No_ |
| 13. | Do executives build consensus effectively? | 13. | Yes | No |
| 14. | Do executives take well-considered risks? | 14. | Yes | No |
| 15. | Do executives encourage learning and prudent risk-taking? | 15. | Yes | No |
| 16. | Do executives hold project managers responsible for achieving results? | 16. | Yes_ | No_ |
| 17. | Do executives enable project managers to communicate effectively about project status? | 17. | Yes | No |
| 18. | Do executives encourage people to report minor problems before they become major problems? | 18. | Yes_ | No |
| 19. | Do critical projects receive the executive attention they need? | 19. | Yes | No |
| 20. | Does the agency make IT decisions with a reasonable degree of consensus? | 20. | Yes_ | No_ |
| 21. | Do top executives communicate their decisions effectively to individuals within the agency? | 21. | Yes | No |
| 22. | Is there a top executive to serve as IT project sponsor for key projects? | 22. | Yes | No_ |
| 23. | Do executives effectively plan and manage workforce needs? | 23. | Yes | No_ |
| 24. | Do executives have an effective IT procurement strategy? | 24. | Yes | No_ |
| 25. | Do executives use key milestones for making critical "go/no-go" decisions? | 25. | Yes_ | No_ |

Questions 26 through 38 are intended for all project team members, support contractor managers, and support contractor team members.

| 26. | Do executives ensure that IT project teams have the personnel and resources they need to succeed? | 26. | Yes | No |
|---------------|---|-----|-----|----|
| 27. | Do executives use formal career development plans to guide employees' training? | 27. | Yes | No |
| 28. | Do executives align employees' career development with IT project needs? | 28. | Yes | No |
| 29. | Do executives ensure that IT project teams are stable over time? | 29. | Yes | No |
| 30. | Do executives have a good understanding of productivity levels among IT project teams? | 30. | Yes | No |
| 31. | Do executives conduct effective program reviews? | 31. | Yes | No |
| 32. | Do executives have the necessary expertise to make sound decisions about IT projects and acquisition? | 32. | Yes | No |
| 33. | Is it clear who is responsible for making major technical decisions? | 33. | Yes | No |
| 34. | Do executives encourage effective communication among individuals involved in systems development and those involved in procurement? | 34. | Yes | No |
| 35. | Do executives ensure that individuals responsible for managing IT systems contracts are trained in managing such contracts? | 35. | Yes | No |
| 36. | Do executives appropriately rely on contractors? | 36. | Yes | No |
| 37. | Has the agency identified those technical concerns it will address and those its contractors will address? | 37. | Yes | No |
| 38. | Is management well informed about contract changes? | 38. | Yes | No |
| Ques decis | tions 39 through 43 are intended for all respondents who influence key technical ions. | | | |
| 39. | Do IT decision-makers consider the tradeoffs among time, cost and quality that will be encountered in meeting various development requirements? | 39. | Yes | No |
| 40. | Do executives select IT project managers with sufficient experience to do their jobs well? | 40. | Yes | No |
| 41. | Do executives select IT project managers with sufficient technical knowledge? | 41. | Yes | No |
| 42. | Does the organization contract for IV&V on IT projects? | 42. | Yes | No |
| 43. | Do executives consider the advice of IV&V contractors? | 43. | Yes | No |

Capital Planning

Capital planning is a collective decision-making process for ensuring that IT investments integrate strategic planning, budgeting, procurement, and IT management in accordance with the agency's business objectives. Participation by high-level agency managers and functional level IT executives is critical for successful capital planning. These key contributors facilitate decision-making about IT investments through a formal systematic process that determines priorities for making funding decisions. An effective capital planning process requires long range planning and a disciplined budget process as the basis for managing a portfolio of capital assets to achieve performance goals with the lowest lifecycle costs and the least risk.

Definitions

AGENCY: An administrative division of the government.

CAPITAL PLAN: A document that identifies existing and proposed capital assets, and provides justification for new capital funding. The capital plan includes a statement of the agency's strategic plan, description of assets already owned or being procured, an analysis detailing the performance gap between existing capabilities and the goals and objectives highlighted in the strategic plan, justification for new capital acquisitions proposed for funding, and other related information.

CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER (CIO): The executive primarily responsible for all IT concerns throughout the agency, including information resource management, IT capital planning, IT change management, and various other management and technical functions related to information technology.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER (CFO): The executive primarily responsible for all fiscal concerns throughout the agency, including budgeting, financing, capital planning, accounting, transaction processing, and various management functions related to financial matters.

COMMERCIAL-OFF-THE-SHELF (COTS): Software developed by independent firms or organizations for wide-scale use throughout an industry. Use of COTS may be preferable to use of customized software, either due to shorter time to implementation, conformity with prevalent industry standards, or association with broad-based training or maintenance services.

INVESTMENT REVIEW BOARD (IRB): A group of agency executives responsible for making decisions about IT projects and systems, based on comparisons and trade-offs related to benefits versus risks between competing projects, and an emphasis on meeting mission needs and improving organizational performance.

IT Architecture: The documentation of relationships among business and management processes and IT that ensures: 1) alignment of information requirements with the processes that support the agency's mission; 2) adequate interoperability, redundancy, and security of information systems; and 3) the application and maintenance of a collection of standards (including technical standards) by which the agency evaluates and acquires new systems.

LIFECYCLE COST: The overall estimated cost for a specific program alternative over the time period corresponding to the life of the program, including direct and indirect initial costs, plus any periodic or continuing costs for operation and maintenance.

MILESTONE: A planned event in systems development when upper management is scheduled to make a set of critical decisions.

Mission: An enduring statement of purpose, the agency's reason for existence. Describes what the agency does, who it does it for, and how it does it.

PROJECT LIFECYCLE: An organizing structure with which to align project objectives with appropriate technologies and resources.

| Ques | tions 1 through 4 are intended for all respondents. In the agency: | | | |
|------|--|-----|-----|----|
| 1. | Do IT investments reflect business priorities? | 1. | Yes | No |
| 2. | Does the capital planning process establish an explicit link between plans and the IT architecture? | 2. | Yes | No |
| 3. | Do you believe the IT investments are reasonable given the expected improvements in mission performance? | 3. | Yes | No |
| 4. | Are the individuals with responsibility and authority for making final IT-related funding decisions clearly defined? | 4. | Yes | No |
| | tions 5 through 16 are intended for all senior executives, senior managers, and am/project managers. | | | |
| 5. | Does the agency have an IRB? | 5. | Yes | No |
| 6. | Does the IRB include senior representatives from across the agency, including the CIO and CFO? | 6. | Yes | No |
| 7. | Does the IRB make decisions based upon business priorities? | 7. | Yes | No |
| 8. | Is it clear which projects the IRB is required to review? | 8. | Yes | No |
| 9. | Does the IRB review all major IT investments? | 9. | Yes | No |
| 10. | Does the IRB make timely decisions concerning the funding of competing priorities? | 10. | Yes | No |
| 11. | Does the IRB consider benefits, costs, and risks of competing initiatives? | 11. | Yes | No |
| 12. | Are the decisions and action items of the IRB well communicated to project teams? | 12. | Yes | No |
| 13. | Do project teams execute the decisions of the IRB? | 13. | Yes | No |
| 14. | Does the capital planning process encourage necessary business process revisions before making IT investments? | 14. | Yes | No |
| 15. | Are standardized criteria used to compare IT investments? | 15. | Yes | No |
| 16. | Does the outcome of the capital planning process result in changes to projects throughout their lifecycle? | 16. | Yes | No |

Questions 17 through 22 are intended for all respondents who influence key technical decisions.

| 17. | Within the capital planning process, are there approval thresholds that lead to decisions about allocating resources? | 17. | Yes | No |
|-----|--|-----|-----|----|
| 18. | Does the capital planning process include clearly defined approval thresholds that channel project decisions to the appropriate levels in the agency? | 18. | Yes | No |
| 19. | Are competing opportunities for technology investments prioritized to effectively allocate limited funds? | 19. | Yes | No |
| 20. | Does the agency have a clear process for communicating decisions about IT spending? | 20. | Yes | No |
| 21. | Does the agency have an accurate portfolio of its existing systems and applications, including an identification of their related costs and organizational benefits? | 21. | Yes | No |
| 22. | Are technology contracts structured with sufficient flexibility to be modified as necessary over time? | 22. | Yes | No |
| | tions 23 through 37 are intended for all program project managers, project team bers, support contractor managers, and support contractor team members. | | | |
| 23. | Does the outcome of the capital planning process result in fulfillment of IT needs? | 23. | Yes | No |
| 24. | Are requirements sufficiently defined to enable accurate cost estimate? | 24. | Yes | No |
| 25. | Do you find estimates of costs and benefits to be reliable, accurate, and up-to-date? | 25. | Yes | No |
| 26. | Are costs and benefits estimates sufficiently reliable for use in capital investment decisions? | 26. | Yes | No |
| 27. | Do capital investment decisions link to project management milestones? | 27. | Yes | No |
| 28. | Are projected costs and benefits validated and updated throughout the life of investment decision making? | 28. | Yes | No |
| 29. | Does the capital planning process effectively control costs? | 29. | Yes | No |
| 30. | Do IT contracts have milestones that require management decision making? | 30. | Yes | No |
| 31. | Are milestone decisions incorporated into the capital planning process? | 31. | Yes | No |
| 32. | Is risk analysis employed for major projects? | 32. | Yes | No |
| 33. | Are the results of risk analysis incorporated into the capital planning process? | 33. | Yes | No |
| 34. | Do IT projects consider the use of COTS? | 34. | Yes | No |
| 35. | Do IT projects explore different approaches to find alternatives that lower risk and reduce cost? | 35. | Yes | No |
| 36. | Are the results of exploring alternatives considered or incorporated in the capital planning process? | 36. | Yes | No |
| 37. | Does the agency's capital planning process incorporate actual results to | 37. | Yes | No |

Project Management

Project management is the rigorous discipline of planning, directing and controlling resources for a relatively short-term objective that is established to complete specific goals. Project management includes planning and implementing within a designated cost and schedule, and at a desired performance and technology level. The practice of project management can focus efforts on the agency mission by aligning project priorities, leveraging resources, and delivering services and products to customers. A successful project translates a broad public mission into concrete results and outcomes. Therefore, an effective project manager must possess a broad range of competencies including: project integration management, project scope management, project time management, project cost management, project quality management, project human resource management, project communications management, project risk management and project procurement management.

Definitions

CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER (CIO): The executive primarily responsible for all IT concerns throughout the agency, including information resource management, IT capital planning, IT change management, and various other management and technical functions related to information technology.

CUSTOMER: A direct or indirect beneficiary of a technology-related process or program. This may include internal and external customers, end-users, and stakeholders.

MILESTONE: A planned event in systems development when major events are to be completed and/or upper management is scheduled to make a set of critical decisions.

Organization: An agency component or entity that has oversight responsibility for one or more business units, each of which has purview over the activities of a number of projects.

PROJECT: A series of activities and tasks that have a specific objective to be completed within certain parameters, have defined start and end dates, have funding limits and consume resources.

PROJECT LIFECYCLE: An organizing structure with which to align project objectives with appropriate technologies and resources.

PROJECT PLAN: A document that formally captures agreements among customers (including internal and external customers, end-users, and stakeholders) and project participants. A project plan ensures that a project will meet expected results and helps align the project with the organization's business plans and supporting IT plans.

Work Breakdown Structure: A deliverable-oriented grouping of project elements which organizes and defines the total scope of a project.

Questions 1 through 6 are intended for all respondents.

| 1. | Do you perceive IT projects are managed in a standardized, rigorous way? | 1. | Yes | No |
|------|--|-----|------|----|
| 2. | Do customers participate in the development of the scope of IT projects? | 2. | Yes | No |
| 3. | Are processes for reaching agreements with customers effective? | 3. | Yes | No |
| 4. | Do project managers work with customers to reach mutually agreeable decisions about IT projects? | 4. | Yes_ | No |
| 5. | Are customers appropriately involved throughout the project lifecycle? | 5. | Yes | No |
| 6. | Does clear communication exist about changes, delays and new developments for IT projects? (e.g., changes in cost, schedule and budget) | 6. | Yes | No |
| Ques | tions 7 through 10 are intended for all senior executives and senior managers. | | | |
| 7. | Is a set of progress indicators visible to key customers during an IT project lifecycle? | 7. | Yes | No |
| 8. | Are project managers accountable for the results of IT projects? | 8. | Yes | No |
| 9. | Are project briefings held with agency leadership and customers? | 9. | Yes | No |
| 10. | Is management receptive to communication from project team members? | 10. | Yes | No |
| | tions 11 through 22 are intended for all program project managers, project team bers, support contractor managers, and support contractor team members. | | | |
| 11. | Are standardized project management processes and techniques effectively applied to IT projects? | 11. | Yes | No |
| 12. | Are deliverables that are referenced in a statement of work reflected in an associated project plan? | 12. | Yes | No |
| 13. | Does a project plan typically include the endorsement of key customers? | 13. | Yes | No |
| 14. | Are project plans modified if a project encounters significant changes? | 14. | Yes | No |
| 15. | Are estimated durations and costs compared to actual durations and costs? | 15. | Yes | No |
| 16. | Are work breakdown structures used to organize project activities and tasks? | 16. | Yes | No |
| 17. | Are IT project expenditures periodically reviewed and compared to the projected budget? | 17. | Yes | No |
| 18. | Do contingency plans exist for known high-risk areas? | 18. | Yes | No |
| 19. | Are schedule and cost assumptions and constraints documented? | 19. | Yes | No |
| 20. | Do IT projects define performance requirements and include a plan to achieve requirements? | 20. | Yes | No |
| 21. | Is a set of progress indicators visible to key project team members during an IT project lifecycle? | 21. | Yes | No |
| 22. | Do project managers track and review contractor performance and results? | 22. | Yes | No |

Questions 23 through 31 are intended for all respondents who influence key technical decisions.

| 23. | Do project teams include a core group of individuals intended to stay with a project throughout its lifecycle? | 23. | Yes | No |
|-----|--|-----|-----|----|
| 24. | Does the organization invest in building project management competencies in project managers and project team members? | 24. | Yes | No |
| 25. | Are lifecycle cost estimates and cost-benefit analyses used for project management decisions at major system milestones? | 25. | Yes | No |
| 26. | Are projects managed in such a way that "go/no-go" decisions may be made at highly visible milestones? | 26. | Yes | No |
| 27. | Are requirements defined so they can be thoroughly tested and validated? | 27. | Yes | No |
| 28. | Are configuration management processes used? | 28. | Yes | No |
| 29. | Does the project control methodology help align IT systems with the agency's business and IT plans? | 29. | Yes | No |
| 30. | Is progress on critical measures effectively communicated to upper management? | 30. | Yes | No |
| 31. | Does the CIO organization use performance data when making decisions and allocating resources for IT projects? | 31. | Yes | No |

Performance Management

Performance and results-based management links IT projects to agency program improvement goals and objectives, as required by the Government Performance and Results Act, and the Clinger-Cohen Act. An effective performance management system includes a baseline of IT performance, clarifies the expected target performance, and identifies the process by which IT management and customers work together to improve IT performance in ways that will enhance mission delivery. Measures provide input to resource allocation and planning, and are used to provide periodic feedback to employees and customers about the quality, quantity, cost, and timeliness of IT products and services.

Definitions

AGENCY: An administrative division of the government.

BALANCED SCORECARD (BSC): A framework that helps organizations translate business strategies into action. Originally developed for private industry, the BSC balances short and long-term objectives. Private industry routinely uses financial measures to assess performance although financial measures focus only on the short-term, particularly the results of the last year or quarter. The BSC supplements financial measures with measures from three perspectives: Customer, Internal Business, and Innovation and Learning.

CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER (CIO): The executive primarily responsible for all IT concerns throughout the agency, including information resource management, IT capital planning, IT change management, and various other management and technical functions related to information technology.

CUSTOMER: A direct or indirect beneficiary of a technology-related process or program. This may include internal and external customers, end-users, and stakeholders.

INDEPENDENT VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION (IV&V): The task of reviewing a contractor's product, development, processes, or management capacity, to ensure all requirements are met. The task is performed by a separate contractor, or other autonomous and independent entity.

LIFECYCLE COST: The overall estimated cost for a program alternative over the time period corresponding to the life of the program, including direct and indirect initial costs, plus any subsequent costs for operation and maintenance.

MILESTONE: A planned event in systems development when major activities are to be completed or upper management is scheduled to make a set of critical decisions.

Mission: An enduring statement of purpose, the agency's reason for existence. Describes what the agency does, who it does it for, and how it does it.

Organization: An agency component or entity that has oversight responsibility for one or more business units, each of which has purview over the activities of a number of projects.

Performance Goal: A target level of an activity expressed as a tangible, measurable objective, against which achievement can be compared.

Performance Measure: A quantitative or qualitative characterization of performance.

Strategic Plan: A document that includes a comprehensive mission statement based on the agency's statutory requirements, a set of outcome-related strategic goals, and a description of how the agency intends to achieve these goals.

Vision: A view of a desirable and potentially achievable future state - where or what the agency would like to be in the future.

Questions 1 through 12 are intended for all respondents. In the agency:

| 1. | Does the strategic plan identify key performance measures? | 1. | Yes_ No_ | _ |
|-----|--|-----|----------|---|
| 2. | Are performance measures, simple, understandable, and logical? | 2. | Yes_ No_ | _ |
| 3. | Does the organization use a formal performance measurement process? | 3. | Yes_ No_ | _ |
| 4. | Are performance measures focused on the critical few? | 4. | Yes_ No_ | _ |
| 5. | Are performance measures outcome-oriented vs. output-oriented? | 5. | Yes_ No_ | _ |
| 6. | Do performance measures reflect key business priorities? | 6. | Yes_ No_ | _ |
| 7. | Is a BSC approach that links key business priorities to organizational IT goals, objectives and measures followed? | 7. | Yes_ No_ | - |
| 8. | Are employees and customers involved in the creation of performance measures that affect them? | 8. | Yes_ No_ | - |
| 9. | Do performance measures support the mission and vision and their relationship with customers? | 9. | Yes_ No_ | - |
| 10. | Do employees and customers understand key performance measures? | 10. | Yes_ No_ | _ |

- 11. Are performance measures effectively communicated to employees and customers?
- 11. Yes_ No_
- 12. Are performance measures continually assessed to determine adequacy and proper focus?
- 12. Yes__ No__

Questions 13 through 30 are intended for all senior executives, senior managers, program|project managers, project team members, support contractor managers, and support contractor team members. In the organization:

- 13. Are performance goals and associated measures focused on program outcomes?
- 13. Yes__ No__
- 14. Are performance goals and associated measures linked to the IT strategic plan? (e.g., a BSC approach)
- 14. Yes__ No__
- 15. Is it well understood how IT projects contribute to performance goals?
- 15. Yes__ No__
- 16. Is the overall performance of the IT function evaluated as well as the outcomes of individual IT investments?
- 16. Yes__ No__
- 17. Do performance goals and measures reflect the agency's business priorities? (e.g., a BSC approach)
- 17. Yes__ No__

18. Are changes in business processes prototyped?

- 18. Yes No
- 19. Are performance data effectively used to show how IT programs support the agency's business priorities?
- 19. Yes__ No__

20. Do performance measures drive IT planning and delivery?

- 20. Yes_ No_
- 21. Do performance data effectively measure customer satisfaction or dissatisfaction?
- 21. Yes__ No__
- 22. Do performance measures provide a clear relationship to stated programmatic and performance goals?
- 22. Yes__ No__
- 23. Are baseline or trend data for stated programmatic and performance goals captured?
- 23. Yes__ No__

- 24. Is progress being made toward key performance objectives?
- 24. Yes__ No__
- 25. Are IT systems reexamined over time to ensure they continue to support shifting performance goals and business priorities?
- 25. Yes__ No__
- 26. Are performance data accessible, reliable and collected in the least burdensome way?
- 26. Yes__ No__
- 27. Are analytic tools employed to facilitate effective performance analysis?
- 27. Yes__ No__
- 28. Are performance data used in resource allocation and other management decisions?
- 28. Yes__ No__
- 29. Do performance goals and measures address aspects of agency management problems that may be mission-critical?
- 29. Yes__ No__
- 30. Is the CIO actively involved in overseeing technology performance?
- 30. Yes__ No__

Questions 31 through 46 are intended for all respondents who influence key technical decisions.

| 31. | Is there a consistent focus within the organization on strengthening the processes and practices used to deliver IT products and services? | 31. | Yes | No_ |
|-----|--|-----|------|-----|
| 32. | Does the organization have prioritized measures of IT performance? | 32. | Yes | No_ |
| 33. | Are business and technical requirements linked to desired performance goals and measures? | 33. | Yes | No_ |
| 34. | Do you believe that performance measures for IT projects are sufficient given the organization's degree of risk? | 34. | Yes | No_ |
| 35. | Does the organization perform periodic cost-benefit analyses and lifecycle cost estimates for its systems? | 35. | Yes | No_ |
| 36. | Does the organization support an independently established performance model such as the Capability Maturity Model (CMM) or International Standards Organization (ISO) 9000? | 36. | Yes | No_ |
| 37. | Does the organization use change control methodology to capture and document requirements from customers? | 37. | Yes | No_ |
| 38. | Does the organization routinely apply IV&V during systems development? | 38. | Yes | No_ |
| 39. | Does the formal change control methodology applied to IT projects help align a system with the agency's business and IT plans? | 39. | Yes | No_ |
| 40. | Are lifecycle cost estimates and cost-benefit analyses performed on a periodic basis for IT projects? | 40. | Yes | No_ |
| 41. | Are lifecycle cost estimates and cost-benefit analyses used for critical decisions at major system milestones? | 41. | Yes_ | No_ |
| 42. | Are system requirements documented to ensure consistency with overall agency-level design? | 42. | Yes | No_ |
| 43. | Are actual performance data compared with estimates in IT project plans? | 43. | Yes | No_ |
| 44. | Are performance measures defined for IT projects for which project participants will be held responsible? | 44. | Yes | No_ |
| 45. | Does the CIO organization use performance data when making decisions and allocating resources? | 45. | Yes | No_ |
| 46. | Is the progress on critical measures effectively communicated to agency leadership? | 46. | Yes | No_ |
| | | | | |

Technology

Acquisition

The agency's strategic objectives drive its information technology acquisition practices. To develop, implement, and maintain effective IT systems and services, acquisition practices must translate the agency's vision into clearly defined requirements and deliverables. Over time, contract administration practices are critical for establishing routine linkages between acquisition activities and defined business needs. Acquisition and contract administration practices are becoming increasingly important as agencies seek to acquire not only technical systems and services, but core IT capabilities as well.

Definitions

Acquisition Process: A set of methods and practices used to acquire information systems and related services.

CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER (CIO): The executive primarily responsible for all IT concerns throughout the agency, including information resource management, IT capital planning, IT change management, and various other management and technical functions related to information technology.

CONTRACT: A binding agreement that establishes requirements for products and services to be acquired.

INDEPENDENT VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION (IV&V): The task of reviewing a contractor's product, development processes, or management capacity, to ensure that the contractor is meeting all requirements. This function is performed by a separate contractor or other autonomous institution.

MILESTONE: A planned event in systems development when major activities are to be completed and/or upper management is scheduled to make a set of critical decisions.

Organization: An agency component or entity that has oversight responsibility for one or more business units, each of which has purview over the activities of a number of projects.

SOLICITATION: A formal process that leads to the selection of a contractor who is best capable of satisfying specific acquisition needs.

Questions 1 through 25 are intended for all senior executives, senior managers, program|project managers, project team members, support contractor managers, and support contractor team members.

- 1. Do acquisition activities support strategic business objectives?
- 2. Is there a formal process for monitoring and overseeing contracts?
- 3. Are contracts monitored and controlled effectively?
- 4. Do contractors have the capacity to develop, test, and field a successful, high-quality system?
- 5. Is there a cooperative relationship between contractors and agency personnel?
- 1. Yes_ No_
- 2. Yes No
- 3. Yes_ No__
- 4. Yes No
- 5. Yes No

| 6. | Does the CIO exert sufficient influence over technical procurements? | 6. | Yes | No_ |
|------|---|-----------|------|-----|
| 7. | Are top executives willing to make "go/no-go" decisions based on defined parameters? | 7. | Yes | No_ |
| 8. | Do contracting officers adequately represent the organization's business needs? | 8. | Yes | No_ |
| 9. | Does the organization provide adequate direction to the contractor? | 9. | Yes | No_ |
| 10. | Do project teams include contracting officers as members? | 10. | Yes | No_ |
| 11. | Do contracts define required milestones? | 11. | Yes | No_ |
| 12. | Are progress payments tied to milestone accomplishment? | 12. | Yes | No_ |
| 13. | Are contracts designed for modular delivery schedules? | 13. | Yes | No_ |
| 14. | Are fixed-price contracts used for the appropriate kinds of tasks? | 14. | Yes | No_ |
| 15. | Is there an effective process for developing, tracking and managing requirements? | 15. | Yes | No_ |
| 16. | Is there a process for handling contract changes? | 16. | Yes | No_ |
| 17. | Is IV&V testing usually conducted for each significant contract component? | 17. | Yes | No_ |
| 18. | Is there an effective process for determining award fees? | 18. | Yes | No_ |
| 19. | Does the organization make timely payments to the contractor? | 19. | Yes | No_ |
| 20. | Are actions imposed on organization officials who fail to meet contractual commitments? | 20. | Yes_ | No_ |
| 21. | Is there a process for reviewing the contractor's software engineering process and products? | 21. | Yes | No_ |
| 22. | Does the contractor have the right number and type of people assigned to the program? | 22. | Yes | No_ |
| 23. | Is there a fair process for ongoing evaluation of the contractor's performance? | 23. | Yes | No_ |
| 24. | Have contractors undergone independent reviews to determine the extent of their capabilities? | 24. | Yes | No_ |
| 25. | Are contractors' invoices timely and accurate? | 25. | Yes | No_ |
| Ques | tions 26 through 34 are intended for support contractor managers. | | | |
| 26. | Does the organization have enough executives with acquisition and contract experience? | 26. | Yes_ | No_ |
| 27 | Is there an effective process for contract solicitation? | 27 | Yes_ | Nο |
| 28. | | | Yes_ | |
| | Does each contract have an established configuration control process? | | Yes_ | |
| | Is product delivery monitored effectively? | | Yes_ | |
| | Are all products evaluated appropriately before acceptance? | | Yes_ | |
| | Is there an effective process to ensure that mission-critical needs can be met | | Yes_ | |
| J | in the event of contractor failure? | 1 | | |
| 33. | Are contract problems and issues recorded, tracked, and brought to closure? | 33. | Yes_ | No_ |
| 34. | Does the organization collaborate appropriately and adequately with the contractor? | 34. | Yes | No_ |

Architecture

An IT architecture is a set of business processes, applications, data descriptions, technical infrastructure, and information flows and relationships organized to allow an organization to integrate its business processes and goals with its IT acquisition. The architecture focuses on work processes, information flows and technical standards to provide specific services and achieve specific strategic objectives. The CIO is primarily responsible for developing, implementing, and maintaining the agency's architecture.

Definitions

AGENCY: An administrative division of the government.

ARCHITECTURE STANDARDS: Mandatory requirements prescribing a disciplined, uniform approach to IT acquisition and development.

COMMERCIAL-OFF-THE-SHELF (COTS): Software developed by independent firms or organizations for widescale use throughout an industry. Use of COTS may be preferable to use of customized software, either due to shorter time to implementation, conformity with prevalent industry standards, or association with broad-based training or maintenance services.

CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS: A document that clarifies the functions, roles, and responsibilities for implementation and management of the architecture.

IT INFRASTRUCTURE: The set of commonly shared information and communication systems constituting the basic information technology environment within an organization.

Questions 1 through 5 are intended for all senior executives, senior managers, program/project managers, project team members, support contractor managers, and support contractor team members. In the agency:

- 1. Does the IT architecture support strategic business requirements? 1. Yes__ No__ 2. Is compliance with the IT architecture required for approval of new initiatives Yes__ No__ through the capital planning process? 3. Are architecture standards effectively communicated? Yes No
- Are architecture standards used to guide and prioritize acquisition of IT assets? 4. Yes No Is the architecture flexible enough to accommodate changes in business Yes No
- processes and technology over time?

Questions 6 through 25 are intended for all respondents who influence key technical decisions.

- 6. Is there a useful concept of operations that clarifies the functions, roles, and responsibilities for implementation and management of the architecture?
- 7. Are you familiar with the current architecture?
- Is there an effective process in place for assessing the current status of the architecture?
- Yes__ No__
- 7. Yes__ No__
- 8. Yes No

| 9. | Are new business functions prototyped and tested before being implemented in the architecture? | 9. | Yes | No |
|-----|--|-----|-----|----|
| 10. | Are architecture standards used to integrate new systems into the existing environment? | 10. | Yes | No |
| 11. | Is there an effective process for establishing and refreshing architecture standards? | 11. | Yes | No |
| 12. | Is there an effective process for identifying and resolving deviations from architecture standards? | 12. | Yes | No |
| 13. | Do contractors generally comply with architecture standards? | 13. | Yes | No |
| 14. | Does the architecture minimize redundancy in data maintenance and development? | 14. | Yes | No |
| 15. | Does the architecture set out guidance on risks associated with the design? | 15. | Yes | No |
| 16. | Does the architecture set out guidance on risks associated with the size of the development effort? | 16. | Yes | No |
| 17. | Does the architecture set out guidance on risks associated with data standardization? | 17. | Yes | No |
| 18. | Does the architecture set out guidance on risks associated with external interfaces? | 18. | Yes | No |
| 19. | Is the architecture flexible enough to accommodate the demands of future development? | 19. | Yes | No |
| 20. | Are software developers using appropriate tools? | 20. | Yes | No |
| 21. | Is the appropriate kind of IT infrastructure in place? | 21. | Yes | No |
| 22. | Is sufficient attention being paid to COTS alternatives? | 22. | Yes | No |
| 23. | Is there an effective process for determining when new parts of the architecture are ready to be tested? | 23. | Yes | No |
| 24. | Is there an effective process for testing parts of the architecture? | 24. | Yes | No |
| 25. | Is the architecture sufficiently open to allow migration to new platforms and insertion of new technologies? | 25. | Yes | No |